APPENDIX C

CURVE NUMBERS, RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS AND N-VALUES

TABLE C-1. RUNOFF CURVE NUMBERS

Source: Table 2-2a, Table 2-2b, and Table 2-2c from U. S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, June 1986, <u>Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release No. 55 (TR-55)</u>, Second Edition.

TABLE C-2. RATIONAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS

Source: Table F.2 from Delaware County Planning Department, December 2011, Crum Creek Watershed Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan.

TABLE C-3. MANNING'S 'n' VALUES

Source: Table 3-1 from United States Army Corps of Engineers, January 2010, HEC-RAS River Analysis System, Hydraulic Reference Manual, Version 4.1. Estimating Runoff

Technical Release 55 Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds

Table 2-2a Runoff curve numbers for urban areas 1/2

Cover description			Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group		
· ·	Average percei				
Cover type and hydrologic condition	impervious area		В	C	D
Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established)					
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) 3	/:				
Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)		68	79	86	89
Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)		49	69	79	84
Good condition (grass cover > 75%)		39	61	74	80
Impervious areas:					
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc.					
(excluding right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Streets and roads:					
Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding					
right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Paved; open ditches (including right-of-way)		83	89	92	93
Gravel (including right-of-way)		76	85	89	91
Dirt (including right-of-way)		72	82	87	89
Western desert urban areas:					
Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only) 41		63	77	85	88
Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed barrier,					
desert shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand or gravel mulch					
and basin borders)		96	96	96	96
Urban districts:					
Commercial and business		89	92	94	95
Industrial	72	81	88	91	93
Residential districts by average lot size:					
1/8 acre or less (town houses)		77	85	90	92
1/4 acre	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	61	75	83	87
1/3 acre		57	72	81	86
1/2 acre		54	70	80	85
1 acre		51	68	79	84
2 acres	12	46	65	77	82
Developing urban areas					
Newly graded areas		PER-ASSER.	SAMPA DE COLO	95000	
(pervious areas only, no vegetation) 5/		77	86	91	94
Idle lands (CN's are determined using cover types					
similar to those in table 2-2c).					

¹ Average runoff condition, and $I_a = 0.2S$.

² The average percent impervious area shown was used to develop the composite CN's. Other assumptions are as follows: impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a CN of 98, and pervious areas are considered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic condition. CN's for other combinations of conditions may be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4.

³ CN's shown are equivalent to those of pasture. Composite CN's may be computed for other combinations of open space cover type.

⁴ Composite CN's for natural desert landscaping should be computed using figures 2-3 or 2-4 based on the impervious area percentage (CN = 98) and the pervious area CN. The pervious area CN's are assumed equivalent to desert shrub in poor hydrologic condition.

⁵ Composite CN's to use for the design of temporary measures during grading and construction should be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4 based on the degree of development (impervious area percentage) and the CN's for the newly graded pervious areas.

Technical Release 55 Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds

Table 2-2b Runoff curve numbers for cultivated agricultural lands \underline{v}

	Cover description		Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group			
	cover description	Hydrologic		ily arologic s	BP	
Covertype	Treatment 2/	condition 3/	A	В	C	D
Fallow	Bare soil	-	77	86	91	94
	Crop residue cover (CR)	Poor	76	85	90	93
	•	Good	74	83	88	90
Row crops	Straight row (SR)	Poor	72	81	88	91
ander is partied • see	90000000000000000000000000000000000000	Good	67	78	85	89
	SR + CR	Poor	71	80	87	90
		Good	64	75	82	85
	Contoured (C)	Poor	70	79	84	88
		Good	65	75	82	86
	C + CR	Poor	69	78	83	87
		Good	64	74	81	85
	Contoured & terraced (C&T)	Poor	66	74	80	82
		Good	62	71	78	81
	C&T+ CR	Poor	65	73	79	81
		Good	61	70	77	80
Small grain	SR	Poor	65	76	84	88
		Good	63	75	83	87
	SR + CR	Poor	64	75	83	86
		Good	60	72	80	84
	C	Poor	63	74	82	85
		Good	61	73	81	84
	C + CR	Poor	62	73	81	84
		Good	60	72	80	83
	C&T	Poor	61	72	79	82
		Good	59	70	78	81
	C&T+ CR	Poor	60	71	78	81
		Good	58	69	77	80
Close-seeded	SR	Poor	66	77	85	89
or broadcast		Good	58	72	81	85
legumes or	C	Poor	64	75	83	85
rotation		Good	55	69	78	83
meadow	C&T	Poor	63	73	80	83
		Good	51	67	76	80

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Average runoff condition, and $I_a {=} 0.2 \mathrm{S}$

Poor: Factors impair infiltration and tend to increase runoff.

Good: Factors encourage average and better than average infiltration and tend to decrease runoff.

² Crop residue cover applies only if residue is on at least 5% of the surface throughout the year.

³ Hydraulic condition is based on combination factors that affect infiltration and runoff, including (a) density and canopy of vegetative areas, (b) amount of year-round cover, (c) amount of grass or close-seeded legumes, (d) percent of residue cover on the land surface (good ≥ 20%), and (e) degree of surface roughness.

Estimating Runoff

Technical Release 55 Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds

Table 2-2c Runoff curve numbers for other agricultural lands $\underline{\lor}$

			Curve nu	umbers for	
Cover description			·- hydrologic	soil group	
	Hydrologic				
Covertype	condition	A	В	C	D
Pasture, grassland, or range—continuous	Poor	68	79	86	89
forage for grazing. 2/	Fair	49	69	79	84
est et	Good	39	61	74	80
Meadow—continuous grass, protected from grazing and generally mowed for hay.	_	30	58	71	78
Brush—brush-weed-grass mixture with brush	Poor	48	67	77	83
the major element. 3/	Fair	35	56	70	77
	Good	30 4/	48	65	73
Woods—grass combination (orchard	Poor	57	73	82	86
or tree farm) 5/	Fair	4.3	65	76	82
	Good	32	58	72	79
Woods. 6/	Poor	45	66	77	83
	Fair	36	60	73	79
	Good	30 4/	55	70	77
Farmsteads—buildings, lanes, driveways, and surrounding lots.	_	59	74	82	86

¹ Average runoff condition, and I_a = 0.2S.

² Poor: <50%) ground cover or heavily grazed with no mulch.

Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover and not heavily grazed.

Good: > 75% ground cover and lightly or only occasionally grazed.

³ Poor: <50% ground cover.

Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover.

Good: >75% ground cover.

⁴ Actual curve number is less than 30; use CN = 30 for runoff computations.

⁵ CN's shown were computed for areas with 50% woods and 50% grass (pasture) cover. Other combinations of conditions may be computed from the CN's for woods and pasture.

⁶ Poor: Forest litter, small trees, and brush are destroyed by heavy grazing or regular burning.

Fair: Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil.

Good: Woods are protected from grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil.

TABLE C-2
RATIONAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS

	HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUI			ROUP
LAND USE DESCRIPTION	А	В	С	D
Cultivated land: without conservation treatment	.49	.67	.81	.88
: with conservation treatment	.27	.43	.61	.67
Pasture or range land: poor condition	.38	.63	.78	.84
: good condition	*	.25	.51	.65
Meadow: good condition	*	*	.44	.61
Woods: thin stand, poor cover, no mulch	*	.34	.59	.70
: good cover	*	*	.45	.59
Open spaces, lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries				
Good condition: grass cover on 75% or more of	*	.25	.51	.65
the area				
Fair condition: grass cover on 50% to 75% of	*	.45	.63	.74
the area				
Commercial and business areas (85% impervious)	.84	.90	.93	.96
Industrial districts (72% impervious)	.67	.81	.88	.92
Residential:				
Average lot size Average % impervious				
1/8 acre or less 65	.59	.76	.86	.90
1/4 acre 38	.25	.49	.67	.78
1/3 acre 30	*	.49	.67	.78
1/2 acre 25	*	.45	.65	.76
1 acre 20	*	.41	.63	.74
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc.	.99	.99	.99	.99
Streets and roads:				
Paved with curbs and storm sewers	.99	.99	.99	.99
Gravel	.57	.76	.84	.88
Dirt	.49	.69	.80	.84

Notes: Values are based on SCS definitions and are average values.

Values indicated by ---* should be determined by the design engineer based on site characteristics.

Source: New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Technical Manual for Stream Encroachment, August 1984

Table 3-1 Manning's 'n' Values

	Type of Channel and Description	Minimum	Normal	Maximum
A. Nati	ural Streams			
	n Channels			
a.	Clean, straight, full, no rifts or deep pools	0.025	0.030	0.033
	Same as above, but more stones and weeds	0.030	0.035	0.040
	Clean, winding, some pools and shoals	0.033	0.040	0.045
	Same as above, but some weeds and stones	0.035	0.045	0.050
e. :	Same as above, lower stages, more ineffective slopes and	0.040	0.048	0.055
	etions	0.040	0.048	0.033
	Same as "d" but more stones	0.045	0.050	0.060
	Sluggish reaches, weedy. deep pools	0.050	0.070	0.080
h.	Very weedy reaches, deep pools, or floodways with heavy stands	0.030	0.100	0.150
of	timber and brush	0.070	0.100	0.150
Floo	d Plains			
a.	Pasture no brush	0.025	0.020	0.025
	1. Short grass	0.025	0.030	0.035
	2. High grass	0.030	0.035	0.050
b.	Cultivated areas	0.000	0.000	0.040
	1. No crop	0.020	0.030	0.040
	2. Mature row crops	0.025	0.035	0.045
	3. Mature field crops	0.030	0.040	0.050
c.	Brush		NO. 2012/10	0.00000
C.	Scattered brush, heavy weeds	0.035	0.050	0.070
	2. Light brush and trees, in winter	0.035	0.050	0.060
	3. Light brush and trees, in summer	0.040	0.060	0.080
	4. Medium to dense brush, in winter	0.045	0.070	0.110
	5. Medium to dense brush, in summer	0.070	0.100	0.160
d.	Trees			
u.	1. Cleared land with tree stumps, no sprouts	0.030	0.040	0.050
	2. Same as above, but heavy sprouts	0.050	0.060	0.080
	3. Heavy stand of timber, few down trees, little	0.080	0.100	0.120
	undergrowth, flow below branches	0.100	0.120	0.160
	4. Same as above, but with flow into branches			
	5. Dense willows, summer, straight	0.110	0.150	0.200
	ntain Streams, no vegetation in channel, banks usually steep,			
a.	trees and brush on banks submerged Bottom: gravels, cobbles, and few boulders	0.030	0.040	0.050
b.	Bottom: cobbles with large boulders	0.040	0.050	0.070

TABLE C-3

Table 3-1 (Continued) Manning's 'n' Values

Type of Channel and Description	Minimum	Normal	Maximun
B. Lined or Built-Up Channels			
1. Concrete			
a. Trowel finish	0.011	0.013	0.015
b. Float Finish	0.013	0.015	0.016
c. Finished, with gravel bottom	0.015	0.017	0.020
d. Unfinished	0.014	0.017	0.020
e. Gunite, good section	0.016	0.019	0.023
f. Gunite, wavy section	0.018	0.022	0.025
g. On good excavated rock	0.017	0.020	
h. On irregular excavated rock	0.022	0.027	
2. Concrete bottom float finished with sides of:	8		
a. Dressed stone in mortar	0.015	0.017	0.020
b. Random stone in mortar	0.017	0.020	0.024
c. Cement rubble masonry, plastered	0.016	0.020	0.024
d. Cement rubble masonry	0.020	0.025	0.030
e. Dry rubble on riprap	0.020	0.030	0.035
3. Gravel bottom with sides of:			
a. Formed concrete	0.017	0.020	0.025
b. Random stone in mortar	0.020	0.023	0.026
c. Dry rubble or riprap	0.023	0.033	0.036
4. Brick			
a. Glazed	0.011	0.013	0.015
b. In cement mortar	0.012	0.015	0.018
5. Metal			
a. Smooth steel surfaces	0.011	0.012	0.014
b. Corrugated metal	0.021	0.025	0.030
5. Asphalt			
a. Smooth	0.013	0.013	
b. Rough	0.016	0.016	
7. Vegetal lining	0.030		0.500

Table 3-1 (Continued) Manning's 'n' Values

	Type of Channel and Description	Minimum	Normal	Maximum
C. Exca	avated or Dredged Channels			
1. Eart	h, straight and uniform			
a.	Clean, recently completed	0.016	0.018	0.020
b.	Clean, after weathering	0.018	0.022	0.025
c.	Gravel, uniform section, clean	0.022	0.025	0.030
d.	With short grass, few weeds	0.022	0.027	0.033
2. Eart	h, winding and sluggish			
a.	No vegetation	0.023	0.025	0.030
b.	Grass, some weeds	0.025	0.030	0.033
c.	Dense weeds or aquatic plants in deep channels	0.030	0.035	0.040
d.	Earth bottom and rubble side	0.028	0.030	0.035
e.	Stony bottom and weedy banks	0.025	0.035	0.040
f.	Cobble bottom and clean sides	0.030	0.040	0.050
. Drag	line-excavated or dredged			
a.	No vegetation	0.025	0.028	0.033
b.	Light brush on banks	0.035	0.050	0.060
. Rock	cuts			
a.	Smooth and uniform	0.025	0.035	0.040
<u>b.</u>	Jagged and irregular	0.035	0.040	0.050
. Chan	nels not maintained, weeds and brush			
a.	Clean bottom, brush on sides	0.040	0.050	0.080
b.	Same as above, highest stage of flow	0.045	0.070	0.110
c.	Dense weeds, high as flow depth	0.050	0.080	0.120
d.	Dense brush, high stage	0.080	0.100	0.140

Other sources that include pictures of selected streams as a guide to n value determination are available (Fasken, 1963; Barnes, 1967; and Hicks and Mason, 1991). In general, these references provide color photos with tables of calibrated n values for a range of flows.

Although there are many factors that affect the selection of the n value for the channel, some of the most important factors are the type and size of materials that compose the bed and banks of a channel, and the shape of the channel. Cowan (1956) developed a procedure for estimating the effects of these factors to determine the value of Manning's n of a channel. In Cowan's procedure, the value of n is computed by the following equation: